

IX. METHOD AND CRITERIA FOR DISTRIBUTION OF LOAN FUNDS

The priority evaluation and ranking system for the SDWLP is detailed in Subchapter III of ch. NR 166, Wis. Adm. Code. The purpose of the priority evaluation and ranking criteria is to establish a list of eligible projects to be funded in a manner that is in accordance with the federal requirements of the 1996 SDWA reauthorization. The SDWA requires, to the maximum extent practicable, that priority ranking be given to projects that: 1) address the most serious risk to human health; 2) are necessary to ensure compliance with the requirements of the SDWA (including requirements for filtration); and 3) assist systems most in need on a per household basis according to state affordability criteria.

SDWLP's priority evaluation and ranking criteria give first priority to acute public health risks, particularly those related to microbiological organisms, and second priority to situations that pose chronic and longer-term health risks to consumers, such as organic chemical contamination. The scoring criteria also consider issues that are related to infrastructure upgrading or replacement, to address those projects (or portions of a project) that are eligible for funding but not included in the first two sections.

Projects that meet the application deadline are listed on the Funding List in priority order (by project score). The fundable range is established in priority order, except when ranking projects in priority order does not result in at least 15% of the funds being allocated to small systems serving less than 10,000 people. In this case, systems serving less than 10,000 people are given priority until the 15% funding allocation requirement is met.

In the event of a disaster, as declared by the state or federal government, project priorities may be adjusted to ensure protection of public health and the environment.

X. CHANGES TO PERF SCORING CRITERIA

Section NR 166.23(7), Wis. Adm. Code allows the addition or modification of scoring criteria through the annual Intended Use Plan. The following modifications are in effect:

Starting in SFY 2023, Section II (Financial Need) points will no longer be limited to municipalities with a population less than 10,000 and a median household income (MHI) less than or equal to 80% of the state's MHI. Instead, municipalities will be scored according to the PF allocation methodology specified in Section XI.A of this IUP. Fifteen percent of the sum of a given municipality's score in Tables 1-6 of Section XI.A will be added to the PERF score for the municipality's project. For example, if a municipality scores a total of 100 points in Tables 1-6, 15 points will be added to the PERF score for each of the municipality's projects. When comparing projects of a similar type, this change will increase the likelihood that projects from disadvantaged communities will be prioritized. It will also award financial need points to disadvantaged communities with populations greater than 10,000 and/or an MHI greater than 80% of the state's MHI.

Section IV (System and Consolidated System Capacity Points) of the PERF shall be as follows:

- 10 points will be granted if at least 50% of the members of the water utility's governing body have taken all of the online training modules available at the time of application (see Section XVI). Training must be completed and certified online by June 30 of each year in conjunction with a SDWLP application.
- 20 points will be granted for projects including the replacement of LSLs where the LSLs constitute at least 40% of the service lines being replaced. This also includes galvanized material that is downstream of lead goosenecks or services. Service line material documentation must be submitted with the application in order to be awarded points. Note that a municipality does not need to be in receipt of an ALE to receive these points.
- 20 points will be granted for projects implementing the approved recommendations from a corrosion control study. Eligibility will be determined by the DNR's Drinking Water Program.

- 20 points will be granted for projects where the applicant has submitted a new Asset Management Plan for its drinking water utility. Minimum criteria for the Asset Management Plans is available on the [program website](#). Plans must be submitted by June 30 of each year in conjunction with a SDWLP application. DNR's Drinking Water Program reviews and approves all Asset Management Plans.
- 15 points will be granted for projects where the applicant has submitted a revised/updated Asset Management Plan for its drinking water utility. Updated plans must be submitted by June 30 of each year in conjunction with a SDWLP application. DNR's Drinking Water Program reviews and approves all Asset Management Plans. Criteria for updated plans is available on the [program website](#). Criteria and approval will be the same as for new Asset Management Plans (above).
- 10 points will be granted for projects where the applicant has executed a new agreement between two or more water systems to improve technical, managerial, and financial capacity. The municipality must submit required materials by June 30 of each year in conjunction with a SDWLP application. DNR's Drinking Water Program will review these materials to determine point eligibility. Criteria for awarding public water system partnership points is available on our [program website](#).

Under Section III (Secondary Contaminant Violation and System Compliance), question SC7 shall be as follows:

- 4 points will be awarded under question SC7 if the project includes replacement of lead joints or replacement of LSLs where the LSLs constitute less than 40% of the service lines being replaced.

The following points apply to watermain replacement projects that also include the replacement of private LSLs:

- If at least 200 private LSLs are being removed as part of the project – 30 points
- If at least 100 private LSLs but less than 200 are being removed as part of the project– 25 points
- If at least 50 private LSLs but less than 100 are being removed as part of the project– 20 points
- If at least 25 private LSLs but less than 50 are being removed as part of the project– 15 points
- If at least 15 private LSLs but less than 25 are being removed as part of the project– 10 points
- If less than 15 private LSLs are being removed as part of the project – 4 points
- If project will remove all remaining private LSLs in the municipality – 10 additional points

Starting in SFY 2024, projects to address PFAS contamination will receive points based on the Department of Health Services' Hazard Index (HI). The HI will be multiplied by 100 (maximum points of 300). If EPA or the Wisconsin Department of Health Services issues a revised health advisory level for any PFAS compound, this scoring may be modified.

In the event of a tie on the funding list, the municipality with the smaller population will be ranked above the municipality with the larger population. If a tie still remains, the municipality with the smaller MHI figure will be ranked above the municipality with the larger MHI figure.

XI. DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES PROGRAM AND PRINCIPAL FORGIVENESS (PF)

The SDWLP offers a lower interest rate to local governmental units that meet two eligibility criteria. This interest rate is 33% of the state's market rate. Under s. 281.61(11), Wis. Stats., the two eligibility criteria are:

- 1) the local governmental unit's population must be less than 10,000; and
- 2) the local governmental unit's MHI must be 80% or less of the state's MHI.

Local governmental units that do not meet the two criteria receive loans at 55% of the state's market rate.