

Attachment I: Drinking Water Revolving Fund Priority Scoring Model

Drinking Water Quality and Public Health		Points
Project addresses a documented waterborne disease outbreak associated with the system within the last 24 months.		35
Project corrects or prevent violations of MCLs (primary standards) ¹ .		
• Nitrate, nitrite, TCR.		30
• Total trihalomethanes, total haloacetic acids.		25
• Arsenic, selenium.		20
• Other regulated contaminants.		15
Project corrects or prevents exceedances of MCLs for radionuclides.		35
Project corrects inadequate treatment techniques that are unable to satisfy the requirements for:		
• Surface water.		
• GWUDI.		20
• Groundwater.		
Project corrects exceedances of secondary drinking water standards.		10
System has inadequate supply* to meet all current domestic water supply demands. *System must provide records of water usage to substantiate supply is inadequate.		25
Project will correct or prevent:		
• Inadequate distribution due to system deterioration (e.g., experiencing multiple line breakages).		20
• Inadequate distribution due to chronic low pressure.		15
• Inadequate storage.		10
• Demand exceeding design capacity.		5
Project incorporates fluoridation.		10
Affordability*		Points
Median Household Income (MHI) of service area.		
• <50% of state MHI		35
• Between 51% and 80% of state MHI.		20
• Between 81% and 100% of state MHI.		5
• >100% of state MHI.		0

Affordability Continued	Points
-------------------------	--------

User Fees (projected water rate at 110%/tap/MHI):

- Rates are >1.64%. 45
- Rates are between 1.20% and 1.64%. 25

OR

User Fees for a combined water & sewer fund (projected combined rates at 110%/tap/MHI)

- Rates are >2.81% 45
- Rates are between 2.09% and 2.81%. 25

Projected water debt per tap compared to MHV

- Debt is >1.29%. 45
- Debt is between 0.50% and 1.29%. 25

OR

Projected water & sewer debt (for combined systems) per tap compared to MHV:

- Debt is >2.29%. 40
- Debt is between 1.16% and 2.29%. 20

Population served criteria:

- <500. 35
- Between 500 and 1,000. 25
- Between 1,000 and 2,000. 20
- Between 2,000 and 5,000. 15
- Between 5,000 and 10,000. 5
- >10,000. 0

Assessed Value/Household

- Assessed Value per household is <\$9,539. 35
- Assessed Value per household is between \$9,539 and \$19,025. 20
- Assessed Value per household is between \$19,025 and \$35,264. 10
- Assessed Value per household is greater than \$35,264. 0

*Affordability criteria is based on the most current available DAC benchmarks.

CPDWR Compliance	Points
------------------	--------

Project addresses an enforcement action by a regulatory agency and the facility is currently in violation of CPDWRs.	30
--	----

Project addresses a facility's voluntary efforts to resolve a possible violation and will mitigate the issuance of a consent order, notice of violation or other enforcement action.	20
--	----

Project is designed to maintain CPDWR compliance or to meet new requirements.	15
---	----

System is currently meeting all CPDWRs.	10
---	----

Source Protection and Conservation	Points
Project addresses vulnerability to potential pollution by conditions identified in an approved source water protection area assessment:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Point source discharge within a delineated area. Area impacted by agricultural chemical use or run-off. Area subject to oil/gas/mineral operations. Unprotected watershed area. 	15 (for one or more)
Project establishes a protective zone to address potential pollution as a result of wildfires in burn scar areas.	10
Utility rate structure currently in place:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increasing block rates. Seasonal rates. Uniform. 	15 10 0
Project will implement water metering, leak detection and/or other water conservation and efficiency infrastructure applications at a minimum of 20% of total project costs.	10

Sustainability*	Points
Project seeks to:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Correct compliance issues, water quality problems, and/or water supply problems through physical consolidation and regionalization of water systems. Correct and/or improve security of the water system. Incorporate beneficial uses of water treatment plant sludge and/or alternative concentrate management options in an approved Beneficial Use Plan². 	10 10 10
Project promotes sustainable utilities and/or communities through a utility management plan that:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Secures a replacement fund for the rehabilitation and replacement of aging and deteriorating infrastructure as needed. Provides sufficient revenues to meet O&M and capital needs. Demonstrates that the facility has maintained licensed/certified operators, adequate staffing to properly operate and maintain the facility and will continue to do so. Incorporates a fix-it-first planning methodology. 	5 5 5 5

Readiness to Proceed	Points
Project has secured one or more of the following:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plans and specifications approved. 	10
Project has funding secured by multiple assistance provider	10

¹ This accommodates repeat violations and provides indicators for both chronic and acute health hazards

² The Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division is the agency responsible for implementing the Regulations Pertaining to the Beneficial Use of Water Treatment Sludge and Fees applicable to the Beneficial Use of Sludges pursuant to 5CCR1003-7.